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 Greatest Area of Need:Progress of Highest Achieving Students

July 28, 2014

## Percentile Range Analysis - Key Points Progress - Math and Reading

## Math

- Greatest progress seen in 1-19 percentile range
- Approximately $60 \%$ of students are making progress targets across all remaining ranges
- Need to increase the rigor around instruction in math


## Reading

- Greatest progress seen in the lowest percentile ranges
- Close to 70\% of students in the 1-49 percentile ranges made expected annual progress
- Progress results dip to between $50 \%-60 \%$ making expected annual progress for students in the 50-90+ percentile ranges

These results reflect our greatest area of need - progress of our highest achieving students

## District 105 Math Progress Fall-Spring FY14

Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Targets in Each


## District 105 Reading Progress Fall-Spring FY14

 Percentage of Students Meeting Annual Progress Target in Each

## Percentile Range Analysis - Key Points Achievement - Math

## Students in percentile ranges below the $5 \mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ this past fall:

- $43 \%$ of students moved up one or more percentile range by spring.
- $35 \%$ of students in the $35-49 \%$ percentile range moved up to the next percentile range - above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile!
- 114 students that were in the lowest two percentile ranges this past fall moved up at least one percentile range by spring. This represents $10 \%$ of our students.
- These students represent positive achievement in our district but are not captured in the "at or above $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile" figure we shared this spring.


## Students in percentile ranges above the $50^{\text {th }}$ this past fall:

- As a whole, greater percentage of students are moving up percentile ranges than dropping to a lower percentile range
- However, the greatest percentage of students are remaining in the same percentile range as in the fall
- These results reflect our GAN - needing to move our highest achievers forward





## Percentile Range Analysis - Key Points Achievement-Reading

## Students in percentile ranges below the 50 th this past fall:

- $47 \%$ of students moved up one or more percentile range by spring.
- $43 \%$ of students in the $35-49 \%$ percentile range moved up to the next percentile range - above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile!
- 93 students that were in the lowest two percentile ranges this past fall moved up at least one percentile range by spring. This represents $8 \%$ of our students.
- These students represent positive achievement in our district but are not captured in the "at or above $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile" figure we shared this spring.


## Students in percentile ranges above the 50 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ this past fall:

- As a whole, greater percentage of students are moving up percentile ranges than dropping to a lower percentile range
- However, the greatest percentage of students are remaining in the same percentile range as in the fall
- Greater percentage of students dropping \% ranges as compared to math
- These results reflect our GAN - needing to move our highest achievers forward





# FY14 Spring Cohort Reading and Math Results 

July 28, 2014

$8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on spring MAP. N=98


## $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or

 above the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile on fall MAP. $N=123$

6th Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the 50th percentile on spring MAP. N=117


5th Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the 50th percentile on spring MAP. N=133


4th Grade Cohort - Percentage of students at or above the 50th percentile on spring MAP. N=142


